

Bifid Uvula—An Enigma

Prathibha Prasad^{1,2}, Ebtesam Khalil^{2,3}, Vijay Bhavrao Desai^{2,4}, Sudhir Rama Varma^{2,4}, Lalithambigai Gunasekaran⁵, Kiran Kumar⁶, Sulakshana Pradeep⁷

¹Department of Basic Dental Sciences, College of Dentistry, Ajman University, Ajman, UAE, ²Center of Medical and Bio-allied Health Sciences Research, Ajman University, Ajman, UAE, ³Department of Basic Dental Sciences, College of Dentistry, Ajman University, Ajman, UAE, ⁴Department of Clinical Sciences, College of Dentistry, Ajman University, Ajman, UAE, ⁵Department of Public Health Dentistry, Vivekananda Dental College for Women, Namakkal, Tamil Nadu, India, ⁶Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology and Oral Microbiology, SDM College of Dental Sciences and Hospital, (A Constituent Unit of Shri Dharmasthala Manjunatheshwara University) Dharwad, Karnataka state, India, ⁷Department of Pediatric and Preventive Dentistry, Government Dental College and Research Institute, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

Submitted: 07-Oct-2022

Revised: 01-Dec-2022

Accepted: 14-Dec-2022

Published: 05-Jul-2023

ABSTRACT

Facial development involves an intricate regulatory mechanism that accounts for numerous craniofacial abnormalities, common being orofacial clefts. Although cleft in the secondary palate accounts for one-third of orofacial clefts stills remains an under-researched domain. Hence, in this work, the authors put forth two non-syndromic, asymptomatic cleft uvulae reported among bimodal male patients of the Indian-Asiatic population who came up for dental screening. Most of the time, isolated/asymptomatic cleft uvula patients will be reluctant to further investigations and treatment. Although bifid uvula looks benign in most patients, it may sometimes be associated with catastrophic complications. To conclude, whenever bifid uvula is an incidental finding, it is the responsibility of the healthcare worker to plan a thorough patient workup as a primary preventive measure to rule out any complications whenever feasible. It can help us overcome many future unforeseen sequelae and emergency management due to bifid uvula.

KEYWORDS: *Bifid, cleft uvula, non-syndromic, uvula*

INTRODUCTION

The uvula is a vital organ in the craniofacial complex concerned with speech, deglutition, and mastication.^[1] The literature revealed numerous abnormalities of this small grapes-shaped structure, the

Address for correspondence: Dr. Prathibha Prasad, Department of Medical and Dental Sciences, College of Dentistry, Ajman University, Ajman, UAE and Center of Medical and Bio-allied Health Sciences Research, Ajman University, Ajman, UAE.
E-mail: p.prasad@ajman.ac.ae

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

How to cite this article: Prasad P, Khalil E, Desai VB, Varma SR, Gunasekaran L, Kumar K, et al. Bifid uvula—An enigma. J Pharm Bioall Sci 2023;15:S806-9.

Access this article online

Quick Response Code:



Website: <https://journals.lww.com/jpbs>

DOI: 10.4103/jpbs.jpbs_464_22

most common being split uvula. The split uvula, also commonly known as the bifid uvula, is a milder form of cleft palate.^[2]

The etiology of cleft palate occurrence is multifactorial. It involves both major and minor genetic variations synergistic with interplay from the environmental domain.^[2] Human orofacial cleft incidence remains a Bermuda Triangle among researchers. We cannot get a wholesome picture of its molecular mechanisms despite numerous case-based and genomic studies researched in this area.

Evidence reported that the prevalence of split uvula is 2% in Whites; however, isolated epidemiological data globally on this condition remains under research. It may be because bifid uvula is mainly an incidental finding in asymptomatic patients. Further, symptomatic/asymptomatic bifid uvula clinically presents itself with either or a combination of the following conditions: submucosal cleft; inability to form a seal with pharyngeal wall leading to frequent regurgitation; velopharyngeal insufficiency; nasal intonation; a systemic disease like aneurysm and syndromes like Loyez-Dietz syndrome, Marfan Syndrome.^[3-5]

In this report, the authors have illustrated two cases of incidental bifid uvula in the Indian population.

CASE REPORT 1

An eight-year-old male child who came for dental screening at a private dental clinic accompanied by his mother, who was visiting for her dental treatment, was incidentally diagnosed with Type D bifid uvula [Figure 1]. The kid was on-term and typically delivered the baby with no other relevant history. There was no history of consanguineous marriage. The baby was delivered normally after a full-term pregnancy. On

further examination, there was the absence of a cleft in the lip or palate.

CASE REPORT 2

A 44-year-old male with no relevant family history reported to the private clinic for dental screening and was incidentally diagnosed with bifid uvula (Type C) [Figure 2]. The dentist did not find any other associated physical signs and symptoms in the orofacial complex. Further, none in the family pedigree were reported to have bifid uvula. The adult male does not remember having any difficulties in speech or swallowing while growing up.

DISCUSSION

Indeed, a complex regulatory mechanism is involved in embryonic facial development. Among the craniofacial abnormalities, orofacial clefts hold a prime position. Evidence suggested cleft involving the secondary palate stills remains under-researched domain.^[6,7] Hence we reported the occurrence of two non-syndromic cleft uvula reported among the Indian-Asiatic population. CPO (MIM 119540) includes cleft uvula as one entity under its classification and further declares cleft in the secondary palate accounts for one-third of orofacial clefts reported. Numerous pieces of evidence demonstrated a web of risk factors and etiology of both genetic and environmental domains for CPO.^[8]

Although cleft uvula and cleft palate prevalence vary from 1.5% to 10%, isolated cleft uvula epidemiological distribution remains unveiled.^[7] Cleft uvula may range from a developmental malformation to symptoms of several chromosomal syndromes like trisomy.

The embryological development of the uvula takes place through the proliferation of cells between the distal palatine shelves resulting in smoothening of the soft



Figure 1: An eight-year-old male child with Type D bifid uvula



Figure 2: A 44-year-old male with Type C bifid uvula

palate and its associated structures. The uniqueness of the uvula formation lies in fact; it develops from the mesenchymal merging of two distinct masses, which originate from the posterior part of the palatine shelves, unlike the fusion mechanism of the primary palate that takes place all along the length of the palatine shelves. Failure of the merging process in the soft palate and uvula development can result in complete or partial clefts of the soft palate and uvula. Classification of the types of cleft uvula as discussed by Meskin (1964) based on morphology are Type A: normal uvula, Type B: uvula bifurcated up to one-fourth of its total length, Type C: uvula bifurcated from one-fourth to three-fourths of its size, and Type D: uvula bifurcated from three-fourths to its entire length.^[7]

The bifid uvula is a frequently observed anomaly that has served as a clue for clinicians to detect the earliest signs of various anomalies. It has been correlated to a clinical sign of many distinct syndromes manifesting with varied patterns and prevalence worldwide.^[4]

Although bifid uvula looks benign in most patients, it may sometimes be associated with anomalies leading to catastrophic complications. It has been reported that bifid uvula increases the odds of numerous conditions like chromosomal schizophrenia and milder forms of mental retardation. Many congenital syndromes like Cornelia de Lange syndrome, Loeys-Dietz syndrome, and Marfan syndrome manifest this condition. Differential diagnosis of Loeys-Dietz syndrome and Marfan syndrome becomes challenging except for the chromosomal deletion of the *TGFB2* gene.^[9-11]

A classic example depicts “bifid uvula may be a cautioning sign of the syndrome with internal anatomical or functional changes without any physical manifestation,” as reported by Samanta. In 2013, a 16-year-old boy who underwent retinal surgery was noted to have a bifid uvula and a milder form of hypertelorism. During retinal surgery, the patient failed to be extubated and had anisocoria. It was an emergency condition when CT revealed a ruptured aneurysm in the brain, following which immediate craniotomy and clipping were performed as a life-saving procedure.^[12]

Another case report in 2008 revealed an association between a case of the split uvula and an aortic aneurysm. In their work, the authors reported a positive family history of the aortic disease, which, when further investigated, was diagnosed as Loeys-Dietz syndrome.^[13]

In this work, the authors reported that both patients presented asymptomatic bifid uvula and that no first-degree relatives had a congenital anomaly. On the contrary, Khasbage SD 2017 wrote an incidental finding

of the bifid uvula in a 58-year-old man. Snowball sampling of this patient revealed that both his sons had the same clinical condition.^[9]

As discussed earlier, bifid uvula was found to be more common in males than in females.^[7,14] The present work also reported that the incidental finding of bifid uvula was in the male gender.^[15]

The bifid uvula is a marker for the submucous cleft palate.^[3,7] In the present work, no accompanying submucosal cleft was identified in either of the cases. Our study aligns with Brazilian research on 1206 children; none had the event.^[4]

Symptomatic severity of the bifid uvula determines its treatment planning. Very few opt for either the removal or surgical reconstruction of these abnormal tissues. Most of the time, isolated/asymptomatic cleft uvula patients will be reluctant to further investigations and treatment.^[9] Similarly, both cases refrained from any diagnostic or treatment protocol in the present scenario.

A clear-cut knowledge of any congenital abnormality can be attributed to our understanding of its distribution, determinants, covariates, and precise phenotyping. A more complex spectrum of phenotyping patterns was observed in the non-syndromic bifid uvula, making every case unique, necessitating its reporting for future analysis.

CONCLUSION

To conclude, whenever bifid uvula is an incidental finding, it is the responsibility of the healthcare worker to elicit a detailed history and evaluate any anatomical or physiological variation and genetic predisposition. Whenever feasible, it is essential to plan a thorough patient workup as a primary preventive measure to rule out any submucosal cleft, aneurysm, or anesthetist complications. It can help us overcome many unforeseen sequelae and emergency management due to bifid uvula.

Declaration of patient consent

The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent forms. In the form, the legal guardian has given his consent for images and other clinical information to be reported in the journal. The guardian understands that names and initials will not be published and due efforts will be made to conceal identity, but anonymity cannot be guaranteed.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Back GW, Nadig S, Uppal S, Coatesworth AP. Why do we have a uvula?: Literature review and a new theory. *Clin Otolaryngol Allied Sci* 2004;29:689-93.
2. Stanier P, Moore GE. Genetics of cleft lip and palate: Syndromic genes contribute to the incidence of non-syndromic clefts. *Human Mol Genet* 2004;13(suppl_1):R73-81.
3. Wiske CP, McGregor AJ. Say Ah: The unexpected uvula. *Emerg Med News* 2012;34. doi: 10.1097/01.EEM.0000414681.09689.6e.
4. Sales SAG, Santos ML, Machado RS, Dias VO, Nascimento JE, Swerts MSO, *et al.* Incidence of bifid uvula and its relationship to submucous cleft palate and a family history of oral cleft in the Brazilian population. *Braz J Otorhinolaryngol* 2018;84:687-90.
5. Hussein D, Olsson C, Lagerstedt-Robinson K, Moreira T. Novel mutation of the TGF- β 3 protein (Loeys-Dietz Type 5) associated with aortic and carotid dissections: Case report. *Neurol Genet* 2021;7:e25.
6. Souza LT, Kowalski TW, Vanz AP, Giugliani R, Félix TM. TGFA/Taq I polymorphism and environmental factors in non-syndromic oral clefts in Southern Brazil. *Braz Oral Res* 2012;26:431-5.
7. Gopal S, Raghunathan A. Morphological variations of uvula: A clinical study based on Chennai population. *World J Pharm Sci* 2016;5:645-9.
8. Martinelli M, Palmieri A, Carinci F, Scapoli L. Non-syndromic cleft palate: An overview on human genetic and environmental risk factors. *Front Cell Dev Biol* 2020;8:592271.
9. Khasbage, SD. Bifid Uvula in three members of a family. *Int J Oral Craniofac Sci* 2017;3:017-9.
10. Malyuk DF, Campeau N, Benson JC. Loeys-Dietz syndrome: Case report and review of the literature. *Radiol Case Rep* 2022;17:767-70.
11. Nistri S, De Cario R, Sticchi E, Spaziani G, Della Monica M, Giglio S, *et al.* Differential diagnosis between Marfan syndrome and Loeys-Dietz syndrome type 4: A novel chromosomal deletion covering TGFB2. *Genes* 2021;12:1462.
12. Samanta S, Samanta S. Bifid uvula: Anesthetist don't take it lightly! *Saudi J Anaest* 2013;7:482-4.
13. Vilacosta I, Cañadas Godoy V. Bifid uvula and aortic aneurysm. *N Engl J Med* 2008;359:e2.
14. Jacobson R, Ladizinski B, Lee KC. Uvulectomies and associated complications. *JAMA Dermatol* 2013;149:32.
15. Dixon, MJ, Marazita ML, Beaty TH, Murray JC. Cleft lip and palate: Understanding genetic and environmental influences. *Nat Rev Genet* 2011;12:167-78.